

Homework 3

due on Friday, January 8

Problem 1: Consider the heterogeneous firm trade model as pioneered by Melitz (Econometrica 2003):

- Formally derive the entry and export market cutoffs φ^* and φ_x^* as well as the equilibrium number of firms, and show how these variables respond to changes in the trade cost.
- Now assume that productivity is drawn from a Pareto distribution, that is the density function takes the form kx_m^k/x^{k+t} where x_m is the lower bound of the support, and repeat your calculations from part a).
- Find empirical evidence (eg studies that show) that the size of firms does approximately follow a Pareto distribution. How does firm size relate to productivity in the Melitz model?

Problem 2: GATT/WTO.

- Study the different articles of the GATT/WTO and cite the articles that relate to MFN, national treatment, and the exception granted for regional trade agreements.
- Consider a tariff game between two large countries, as in the work of Bagwell and Staiger. Let the welfare of each country be given by $W_i(t_i, t_{-i}) = 0.125 + 0.25(t_i - t_{-i}) - t_i(t_{-i} + t_i)$ where $i \in \{home, foreign\}$. Find the optimal tariffs as a best response to the tariff set by the other country. Are tariffs strategic complements or substitutes? Determine the Nash equilibrium in tariffs, as well as the globally optimal tariff regime(s).
- Continuing from part b), let this be a repeated game, and suppose both governments have the same discount factor. What is the critical discount factor that is required to render the symmetric cooperative outcome self-enforcing under the threat of Nash-reversion?

Problem 3: 'Economies of Scope' and Trade.

- Compare economies of scope to economies of scale. Can you provide a sensible definition of increasing, constant, and decreasing economies of scope that is similar to the corresponding notion for economies of scale. If yes, please do so, if not, please explain.
- Provide a brief summary of the paper "Multi-Product Firms and Flexible Manufacturing in the Global Economy" (REStud January 2010) by Eckel and Neary.
- Explain the main similarities and differences between the Eckel/Neary approach and the one by Nocke and Yeaple that we discussed in class. How could you empirically test one against the other?